UNITED STATES PATENT APPLICATION

TRAFFIC ENGINEERING SYSTEM AND METHOD

INVENTORS:

Anja Feldmann

Albert Greenberg

Carsten Lund

Nick Reingold

Jennifer Rexford

Frederick D. True

Cross Reference to Related Applications

This application is a continuation of Utility Application Serial No. 09/661,527, filed on September 13, 2000. This application claims priority to Provisional Application "Deriving Traffic Demands for Operational IP Networks: Methodology and Experience," Serial No. 60/199,091, filed on April 21, 2000, the contents of which are incorporated by reference herein.

TRAFFIC ENGINEERING SYSTEM AND METHOD

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates generally to packet-switched networks.

5 More particularly, the present invention relates to traffic engineering in a packet-switched network.

Background of the Invention

The Internet is divided into a collection of autonomous systems, each

10 autonomous system ("AS") managed by an Internet Service Provider ("ISP") who operates a backbone network that connects to customers and other service providers. Large ISPs have few software systems and tools to support traffic measurement and network modeling, the underpinnings of effective traffic engineering. Seemingly simple questions about topology, traffic, and routing are surprisingly hard to answer in today's

15 packet-switched networks. A tremendous amount of work has gone into developing mechanisms and protocols for controlling traffic. By comparison, little work has gone to support traffic measurement and network modeling in operational networks. Unfortunately, unless control mechanisms are driven by the appropriate measurements and understanding from well-tested models, the benefit of the controls will be limited.

20 Accordingly, there is a need for new systems and methods of measuring and modeling a packet-switched network that permit effective traffic engineering.

Summary of the Invention

It is an object of the present invention to enable network management

25   tools in a packet-switched network that are capable of efficient reporting, capacity

planning, provisioning, configuration debugging, performance debugging, and allowing

the investigation of the impact of evolutionary changes to the network.

Thus, the present invention is directed to a novel system and method for

traffic engineering in a packet-switched network, such as an Internet Protocol ("IP")

30   based backbone network. A global view of the network is constructed utilizing a network

data model that can be readily constructed from the balkanized network information

associated locally with the individual elements in the network. The data model, in turn,

can be utilized to support useful traffic engineering tools such as routing modeling and

visualization. Unlike conventional circuit-switching, Frame Relay or ATM networks, in

35   which global views of topology and traffic are either given or trivial to derive, basic

concepts of traffic engineering such as a traffic matrix or an offered-load are simply not

present in IP networks and must be estimated and/or derived. Moreover, they must be

derived in a manner that takes into account the dynamic set of intra-domain and inter-

domain routing protocols.

40   These and other advantages of the invention will be apparent to those of

ordinary skill in the art by reference to the following detailed description and the

accompanying drawings.

Brief Description of the Drawings

45   Fig. 1 sets forth an abstract software architecture, in accordance with a

preferred embodiment of the present invention.

Fig. 2 sets forth a diagram of an IP backbone network.

Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 set forth pseudo-code for computing traffic flows, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention.

50   Fig. 5 sets forth pseudo-code for a disambiguation process, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention.

Fig. 6 set forth a diagram illustrating routing traffic division, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention.

Fig. 7 through Fig. 11 set forth examples of graphical user interfaces for

55   visualization of the network, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention.

Detailed Description

Fig. 1 sets forth an abstract architecture of an advanced traffic engineering

60   software tool configured in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention. The software is advantageously divided into separate modules, each of which can be implemented in any of a number of suitable programming languages capable of execution on a digital computer, such as C, Tcl/Tk, or Perl. An advantageous manner of program code development is to use a software package, such as "Obj2C", to convert

65   data object descriptions into generated programming code for standard manipulation of the data objects and a prototype graphical user interface.

As is further described in the following sections below, the software entitled by the inventors "NetScope" comprises three modules: a data model module 110 responsible for construction and manipulation of the network data model, a routing model

70   module 120 responsible for construction and manipulation of the network routing model,

and a visualization module 130 responsible for visualization, display, and correlation of multiple views of the network and usage information. The data model module 110 receives, as input, network topology information and network traffic demand information in order to properly populate the network data model. Netscope is advantageously

75   separated and modularized (as shown abstractly by the dotted line) from the sources of the network topology and traffic demand information. This architecture permits the parts above the dotted line to be unaware of changes to modules below the dotted line. The decomposition into modules and the design of the underlying modules localize possible changes to the network, allowing for the simple evolution and extension of the software.

80   One way of obtaining the configuration and traffic data is shown in Fig. 1: namely, two other modules 140 and 150 entitled by the inventors "NetBuild" and "DataBuild" respectively. The modules 140 and 150 provide input to the data model module 110; these two modules take as input the raw data regarding network configuration and measurement, and provide as output higher level abstractions and

85   information for the data model. This is highly advantageous in that an operational network is under continuous change. It should be noted that the inputs to the data model module 110 need not come from an operational network. For example, configuration data can come from an operational network or from a proposed/projected topology design. Likewise, the traffic demands could come from measurements of the operational

90   network, from estimates or projections, or from customer subscriptions (e.g., for a virtual leased line service). The particular source of the network configuration and traffic demand information for the traffic engineering software is not a limitation of the present invention.

1. Data Model

95

The present invention advantageously combines diverse network configuration information with diverse network measurements in a joint data model. The following two subsections describe (a) a preferred network topology model as well as practical ways of obtaining information to populate the topology model; and (b) a

100 preferred traffic demand model and various ways of obtaining network traffic measurements to populate the traffic matrix.

A. Topology

Traffic engineering requires a network-wide view of the underlying layer-

105 three and layer-two topology. In accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention, a topology model is presented that advantageously captures backbone connectivity, connections to customers and peers, link capacity, and OSPF configuration. A preferred data model includes data objects for network nodes and links in both a "pure" IP router layer (i.e. routers and layer three links) and in a physical transport layer (i.e.

110 devices and trunks). It is advantageous to include layer two devices and trunks in the data model because some networking technologies, such as FDDI and ATM, introduce an intermediate switching fabric at layer two, e.g. multiple layer three links may share a single trunk, or a single layer-three link may correspond to a permanent virtual circuit ("PVC") that traverses one or more ATM switches. This introduces layers of

115 connectivity and capacity, which has implications for traffic engineering and reliability.

Fig. 2 sets forth a diagram of an IP backbone network 200 which

highlights the different elements of the data model in the main router layer. IP routers

and bi-directional layer-three links are represented as nodes and edges in Fig. 2. In a

typical IP backbone network, each router terminates a mixture of "access", "peering",

120   and "backbone" links. An access link 225 connects directly to customers, e.g. to a

modem bank for dial-up users, a web-hosting complex, or a particular business or

university campus. As shown in Fig. 2, some customers can have two or more access

links for higher capacity, load balancing or fault tolerance (such customers are referred to

in the art as "multi-homed customers"). Peering links 215 connect the backbone network

125   to neighboring service providers, e.g. to a public Internet exchange point or directly to a

private peer or transit provider. A typical ISP has multiple peering links to each

neighboring provider, typically in different geographic locations. Backbone links

connect routers inside the ISP backbone. The network in Fig. 2 has been simplified in

that all access links terminate at Access Routers ("ARs" e.g. 221) and all peering links

130   terminate at Internet Gateway Routers ("IGRs" e.g. 211), and all remaining routers are

characterized as Backbone Routers ("BRs" e.g. 201) that only terminate backbone links.

In fact, in an operational network, this split in functionality simplifies the requirements

for each router: ARs provide high port density to connect to a large number of customers

with various access speeds and technologies; BRs provide high packet-forwarding

135   performance; IGRs can isolate peer traffic and simplify management of inter-domain

routing policies. (The meaning of the groupings X_1, X_2, ... and Y_1, Y_2, ... will be

explained in the section below on traffic demand).

7

Each router is represented by a data object with attributes including the

router name, the loopback IP address of the router, the type of the router (e.g. AR, BR,

140   IGR), and the geographic location of the router in terms of city and latitude/longitude. In

addition, each router includes information about which links it originates. For example, a

router data object can have the following parameters:

routerName (string)	Any uniquely identifying string.
type (string)	The type of router: e.g. BR = Backbone router; AR = Access router; IGR = Internet Gateway router; CR = Customer router; and PR = Peering router.
count (int)	useful router index, e.g. the third access router at Chicago will have a count equal to 3.
complex	An identifier for a data object representing a central office housing the instant router.
IP (string)	The loopback interface of the router.
dlatitude (double)	The displacement from the complex location for this router.
dlongitude (double)	The displacement from the complex location for this router.
pnode	An identifier for a data object representing the physical node that corresponds to this router.
networks	A sequence of IP masks. The IP networks that are directly connected to this router. Can be empty.

Each layer-three link is represented by a data object with attributes containing general

145   information about the router originating the link, the name of the router card, the IP

8

address of the interface, whether the link is shutdown or not, a textual description of its

purpose, its capacity, and its OSPF weight. Some attributes can be associated with both

directions of a link. For example, each bidirectional link can be classified as an access,

backbone, or peering link. Backbone links also belong to a particular OSPF area, which

150   must be the same for both unidirectional links. Peering links are associated with a

particular BGP peer, identified by its AS number and annotated by the IP address of the

BGP peer in the remote domain.

         For example, a link data object can model a router interface and have the

following parameters. Note that only interfaces that terminate at another router are

155   included, e.g. interfaces that correspond to a PVC but not the interfaces that terminate on

an ATM switch:

x	An identifier corresponding to the router data object with this interface.
CardName (string)	Name of the interface.
y	An identifier corresponding to the router data object where this link terminates.
linkid (int)	This gives an identifier on the full-duplex link. This can be used to find the link in the opposite direction.
type (string)	The type of the link, e.g. BACKBONE, INTERNAL, or ACCESS.
IP (string)	The IP address of the interface.
Capacity (int)	The bit rate of the interface in Kbytes. Note that for some links, e.g. PVCs, this number makes not too much sense since the

	bandwidth is shared between multiple interfaces.
OSPF (int)	The OSPF weight of the interface.
OSPFarea	The OSPF area of the interface.
Status (int)	Status of the interface.
Desc (string)	The description field from the router configuration file.
plinks	A sequence of references to physical link data objects. This is a list of the physical links that this link lays out to.

Each device or physical node is represented by a data object that has

parameters identifying what type of device it is, e.g. a router or an ATM switch, where

160   the node is located, and a list of trunks that originate at the device. Trunks describe the

connectivity between routers and devices, and include the information about which links

traverse a given trunk. For example, each physical node ("pnode") can have the

following parameters:

name (string)	An identifying string for the physical node.
complex	An identifier for a data object representing a central office housing the physical node.
dlatitude (double)	The displacement from the complex location for this pnode.
dlongitude (double)	The displacement from the complex location for this pnode.
type (string)	The type of pnode, e.g. router or ATM.

165   And each physical link ("plink") can have the following parameters:

x	An identifier corresponding to the pnode data object where this link originates.
CardName (string)	Any string which with x uniquely identifies the plink.
y	An identifier corresponding to the pnode data object where this link terminates.
trunkid (int)	This gives an identifier on the trunk.
type (string)	
capacity (int)	
Desc (string)	

The above model is very general and its objects can be populated in a number of different ways, such as modifying an existing data model, constructing an artificial network, or

170   extracting the information from the real network.

Extracting Network Topology. Unfortunately, there is no single place within a typical IP network that would allow extraction of the information necessary to populate the above preferred model. Rather, the information is distributed among many

175   routers in the Internet. Even within an ISP network, information is decentralized. For example, even OSPF link state information may be insufficient to extract the topology of an IP backbone, especially since OSPF areas hide information. In addition, OSPF link state certainly does not contain information about access links and peering links.

End-to-end mechanisms such as "ping" and "traceroute" can be used for

180   basic network topology discovery – but are cumbersome and provide only basic

connectivity information. SNMP queries or traps can also be utilized, but require active querying of all network elements. See, e.g., "A Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)," IETF RFC 1157, Network Working Group, May 1990.

185   An alternative approach is to extract the information from the router configuration files for the operational network. This has the advantage of capitalizing on all the additional information contained in the router configuration files, including customer and peer information. A perhaps less obvious advantage is that the router configuration files are routinely logged for backup purposes and easily accessible without accessing the live operational network. The disadvantages are that the information is not

190 updated continuously and that the configuration files reflect the state of the network absent failures. Nevertheless, router or link failures or physically disconnected links can be taken account of by a separate data feed. Populating the data objects in the data model using the router configuration files is made much easier by using a packet-switched network configuration debugger and database, as described in co-pending utility patent

195 application, "Netdb: IP Network Configuration Debugger/Database," U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Application Serial No. 60/160,446.

        Traffic engineering requires information about the IP addresses reachable from each access and peering link. Reachability information can be obtained from a number of different sources in the network, e.g., as described below, forwarding tables,

200 BGP tables in general, and route reflector BGP tables in particular. The inventors have found it advantageous to rely on the forwarding tables, although the same information could come from other sources as well, such as the BGP tables, configuration files, etc.

The packet-forwarding tables at each of the Access Routers may be used to extract customer IP addresses, when not listed in a router configuration file. The

205   forwarding table is, in a way, the ultimate authority for how the backbone forwards packets to a set of customer IP addresses. The forwarding table can be logged periodically (e.g., with the IOS command "show ip cef") and post-processed (e.g. using a Perl script) to extract the set of network addresses associated with each access link. The table includes three main fields – the network address, the next-hop IP address (when

210   known), and the card name of the outgoing link. The network address can be associated with the appropriate access link based on the card name, which is part of the topology model that is extracted from the router configuration files.

The BGP routing table may also be processed to determine which peering links are used to reach each external IP address. An ISP has limited control over the

215   external IP addresses that connect to the Internet through other service providers. Routing of traffic from these external addresses depends on the policies other service providers employ for selecting paths and propagating router advertisements. Routing of traffic from customers to these external addresses depends on the advertisements the ISP receives and how they are processed. Applying local policy to the route advertisements

220   results in a BGP routing table that indicates the chosen AS path for each external network address. See, e.g., "A Border Gateway Protocol (BGP-4)," IETF RFC 1771, Network Working Group, March 1995. Based on this information, the set of peering links that can be used to reach each external network address can be determined. Similar to the customer addresses associated with each access link, each peering link can be associated

225   with a set of external network addresses (it should be noted that, in a preferred

13

embodiment of the present invention, this information is used to study routing of traffic destined for that network address and not how traffic form that network address enters the network).

The BGP routing table from a single route reflector in the backbone can

230 also be utilized to determine the set of peering links associated with each external network address (e.g., using the IOS command "show ip bgp"). The ARs and BRs receive advertisements of the AS paths selected by the IGRs. Given the potentially significant fluctuations in BGP routing information, it is advantageous to incorporate a continuous feed of BGP information into the model. Each entry in the BGP routing table

235 corresponds to a single IGR that can be used to reach a particular network address. An entry in the table indicates the network address, the loopback address of the associated IGR, and the AS path. A simple Perl script may be used to process all of the entries in the BGP table to determine the set of network addresses associated with each peering link.

240

B. Traffic Demand

Effective traffic engineering requires not just a view of the topology but also an accurate estimate of the offered load between various points in the backbone. How should traffic demands be modeled and inferred from operational measurements?

245 At one extreme, IP traffic could be represented at the level of individual source-destination pairs, possibly aggregating sources and destinations to the network address or AS level. Representing all hosts or network addresses, however, would result in an overly large traffic matrix, virtually impossible to populate since no single ISP is likely to

14

see all of the traffic to and from each network address. Alternatively, IP traffic demands

250 might be aggregated to point-to-point demands between edge links or routers in the ISP

backbone. This approach, however, has fundamental difficulties in dealing with

interdomain traffic (traffic whose ultimate destination belongs to another domain). Inter-

domain traffic, which constitutes a large fraction of traffic in operational IP networks

today, may exit the ISP backbone from any of a set of egress links, determined by

255 interdomain routing policies. Modeling interdomain traffic as point-to-point would

couple the demand model to internal routing configuration, making it highly problematic

to predict how changing internal routing configuration would influence network load.

In accordance with an aspect of the present invention, an alternative model

is described which effectively handles interdomain traffic and advantageously is invariant

260 to changes in the internal routing configuration. The preferred model of traffic demand

consists of an ingress link, a set of egress links, and a volume of load. For example, the

traffic demands between routers can be represented as data objects with the following

attributes:

x	An identifier corresponding to the source router data object.
y	A sequence of router data objects representing the set of potential destination routers. The string x, y must uniquely identify this demand.
Kbytes (double)	The amount of data for this demand.
packets (double)	Alternative measurement of demand. Does not need to be used.
arrivals (double)	Alternative measurement of demand. Does not need to be used.

265   The path traveled by an IP packet depends on the interplay between interdomain routing

protocols (e.g. BGP) and intradomain routing protocols (e.g. OSPF, IS-IS, or MPLS).

The ISP network lies in the middle of the Internet and may not have any direct

connection to the sender or the receiver of any particular flow of packets. As such, a

particular destination prefix may be reachable via multiple egress links from the ISP: e.g.

270   a multi-homed customer may receive traffic on multiple links that connect to different

points in the backbone or an ISP may have multiple links connecting to a neighboring

provider. The ultimate decision of which route to use depends on the BGP route-

selection process. By associating each traffic demand with a set of egress links that could

carry the traffic, the set basically represents the outcome of the early stages of the BGP

275   route selection process before the consideration of the intradomain protocol.

The set of peer links can be represented by a logical node X_i, and,

similarly, a set of access links can be represented by a logical node Y_j, as illustrated in

Fig. 2. The matching process can draw on the list of customer network addresses from

the Access Router forwarding tables and the external network addresses from the BGP

280   table. The source and destination addresses can be aggregated by performing a longest-

prefix match on these lists of network addresses. The network addresses can then be used

to associate traffic measurements with the appropriate sets of access or peering links.

Traffic Measurement. It is advantageous to collect traffic measurements

285   at all ingress links to compute traffic demands and identify the traffic as it enters the ISP

backbone. Collecting packet-level traces at each ingress link, however, would be

prohibitively expensive. Instead, flow-level statistics can be collected by each ingress router, a "flow" being defined in the art as a set of packets that match in the key IP and TCP/UDP header fields (such as the source and destination address, and port numbers)

290   and arrive on the same ingress link. For example, routers manufactured by Cisco have a Netflow ™ feature that, when enabled, permits the router to keep track of the amount of traffic in each active flow. The router can summarize the traffic statistics on a regular basis, either after the flow has become inactive or after an extended period of activity. Sampling the flow measurements may also be performed to reduce the total amount of

295   data.

Fig. 3 sets forth an algorithm, in pseudo-code, for computing the traffic demands upon receiving a flow record with the following information: an `input` link and a destination IP address `dest` to identify the end-points of the demand, the `start` and `finish` times of the flow, and the total number of `bytes` in the flow. Additional

300   information in the measurement records, such as TCP/UDP port numbers or type-of-service bits, could be used to compute separate traffic demands for each quality-of-service class or application. Aggregating the flow-level measurements into traffic demands requires information about the destination prefixes associated with each egress link. For example, the aggregation process draws on a list, `dest_prefix_set`, of

305   network addresses, each consisting of an IP address and mask length. Each destination prefix, `dest_prefix`, can be associated with a set of egress links, `reachability(dest_prefix)`. For example, in an operational network, these prefixes could be determined from the entries in the forwarding tables at routers that terminate egress links. (Note that the forwarding table at a router connected to an ingress

310   link could have a different set of prefixes, particularly if the IP routing protocols have

been configured to aggregate subnet address). In particular, each forwarding table entry

identifies the next-hop link(s) for a particular prefix. This enables identification of the

prefixes associated with each egress link.

    As reflected in Fig. 3, computing traffic demands across a collection of

315   flows at different routers introduces a number of timing challenges. The flow records do

not capture the timing of the individual packets within a flow. Nevertheless, traffic

engineering occurs on a time scale larger than most flow durations, thus permitting time

to be divided into consecutive width-second bins in which most flows will start and

finish. When a flow spans multiple bins, the traffic can be subdivided in proportion to

320   the fraction of time spent in each time period.

    An alternative to measuring traffic demand at each ingress link is to

collect measurements at a much smaller number of edge links, e.g. the links connecting

the ISP to neighboring providers. This is advantageous in that it frees access routers,

which often may not be capable of collecting fine-grain measurements, from the

325   additional measurement overhead. In contrast, the small number of high-end routers that

connect neighboring providers typically have a much smaller number of links, with

substantial functionality (including measurement functions) implemented directly on the

interface cards that connect each link to the router. By monitoring both the ingress and

egress links at these locations, it is possible to capture a large fraction of the traffic in the

330   ISP backbone – but this introduces new complications for measuring traffic.

    Traffic flows in the IP backbone can be characterized as "inbound" traffic

(i.e. packets travelling from a peering link to an access links), "transit" traffic (travelling

between two peering links), "outbound" traffic (travelling from an access link to a
peering link) and "internal" traffic (travelling between two access links). The

335 characterization of the traffic flow will affect how the flow should be handled. As further
described below, the flow can be classified at a peering link based on the input and output
links as follows:

Input	Output	Classification	Action
Peer	Backbone	Inbound or multi-hop transit	Point-to-multipoint demand
Peer	Peer	Single-hop transit	Point-to-multipoint demand
Backbone	Backbone	Backbone traffic	Omit flow
Backbone	Peer	Outbound or multi-hop transit	Identify possible ingress link(s). Omit flow or compute demand.

    a. Internal Traffic. It should be noted that monitoring the peering links

340 does not capture internal traffic sent from one access link to another. For customer traffic
to and from particularly important access links (e.g., to the ISP's e-mail, Web, and DNS
services), this can be addressed by enabling flow-level measurements – effectively
treating these connections like peering links.

    b. Inbound Traffic. For inbound flows, traveling from a peering link to a

345 backbone link, the above measurement methodology can be directly applied, since flow-
level measurements are available from the ingress link. Fig. 4 sets forth the process of
aggregating the flow records, skipping the details from Fig. 3 regarding dividing the
bytes of the flow across multiple time_bins.

c. Transit Traffic. Transit traffic falls into two categories – single-hop

350 and multiple-hop. A single-hop flow enters and exits the ISP backbone at the same edge

router, without traversing any backbone links: in this case, the flow can be measured

once, at this router. A multi-hop flow enters at one router, traverses one or more

backbone links, and exits at another router. Measuring both ingress and egress traffic at

the peering links, thus, results in duplicate measurements of transit traffic that travels

355 from one provider to another; special attention is required to avoid double-counting this

traffic. The best place to capture a transit flow is at its ingress link, where the above

methodology can be applied. To avoid double-counting the flow, the flow records

generated by multi-hop transit flows as they leave the network need to be discarded. This

requires distinguishing outbound flows (introduced by an access link) from transit flows

360 (introduced by a peering link). For a flow leaving the ISP network, the algorithm in Fig.

4 attempts to match the source IP address with a customer prefix at an access link. For

transit flows, this matching process would fail, and the associated flow record would be

properly excluded.

d. Outbound Traffic. Computing the outbound traffic demands that travel

365 from across links to peering links becomes more difficult, since flow-level measurements

are not available at the ingress links. The flow measurements provide two pieces of

information that help to infer the access link responsible for the outbound traffic (1) the

source IP address and (2) the input/output links that observed the flow at the egress

router. The source IP address indicates which customer generated the traffic (assuming

370 the sender has not spoofed the source address). The source IP address should be matched

with a customer prefix which, in turn, should be matched with a set of possible access

20

links that could have generated the traffic. The pseudocode in Fig. 4 draws on a list, `src_access_prefix_set`, of the network addresses introducing traffic at access links. Each source prefix, `src_prefix`, can be associated with a set of ingress links,

375   `sendability(src_prefix)`. It should be noted that the routing forwarding tables are not sufficient for identifying the source addresses that might generate traffic on an access link. This is because Internet routing is not symmetric: traffic to and from a customer does not necessarily leave or enter the backbone on the same link. Fortunately, an ISP typically knows the IP addresses of its directly-connected customers, and, in fact,

380   may assign IP prefixes from a larger address block belonging to the ISP. Packet filters are often used by ISPs to remove traffic with bogus source IP addresses, and, these packet filters are specified in the router's configuration file which may be accessed and parsed to determine which source prefixes to associate with each access link. From this information it can be determined the set of access links associated with each source

385   prefix. (Where customers connect to other service providers or have downstream customers of their own, it may be preferable to perform flow-level measurements at the ingress links rather than depending on knowing the set of links where these sources could enter the ISP backbone).

        Information about the input and output links that measured the flow

390   should be maintained, as this information is useful to help infer which of the access links could have generated the traffic. The algorithm in Fig. 4 results in a point-to-multipoint demand for inbound and transit flows. Each outbound flow, however, is associated with a set of ingress links, resulting in a multipoint-to-multipoint aggregate. Computing point-to-multpoint demands for outbound traffic requires an additional step to determine which

21

395   access link initiated the traffic. Fig. 5 sets forth a "disambiguation" process which

attempts to determine whether an outbound flow could have entered the network at a

given ingress link based on knowledge of the backbone topology and intradomain routing

configuration at the time the flow was measured. Information on the possible paths from

each ingress link to each egress link is obtained from a routing model that is further

400   described below in Section 2. For a given ingress link and set of egress links, it is

determined on which egress link the flow would exit the network. If this was not the

egress link where the flow was observed, then this ingress link can be eliminated from

consideration. Knowing the path(s) from the ingress link to the egress link provides

additional information: where the path of the flow from the ingress link does not include

405   both of the links that observed the flow (i.e. the input backbone link and the output

peering link), the ingress link should again be excluded from consideration. The process

should be repeated for each of the possible ingress links, as shown in Fig. 5. The process

has three possible outcomes. First, a single ingress link could have generated the traffic,

resulting in the ideal situation of a single point-to-multipoint demand. Second, more than

410   one of the candidate ingress links could have generated the traffic, in which case the

disambiguation process generates multiple demands, each with an equal fraction of the

traffic. Third, if none of the candidate ingress links could have generated the traffic, the

disambiguation process has failed and the flow record is discarded. This provides a

useful consistency check on the initial processing of the flow-level data.

415

2. Routing Model

A feature of the preferred embodiment of the present invention is that it combines the network model and the traffic measurements with an accurate model of path selection. Specifically, a routing module determines the path(s) chosen by the

420 relevant routing protocol for each traffic demand, and the load imparted on each link as the traffic flows through the network. The routing module captures the selection of shortest paths to/from multi-homed customers and peers, the splitting of traffic across multiple shortest-path routes, and the multiplexing of layer-three links over layer-two trunks. These capabilities allow a user to explore the impact of changes in the traffic

425 demands or in the underlying network topology.

There are a variety of routing protocols that may be utilized with the present invention, e.g., OSPF, IS-IS, etc. For example, the OSPF protocol defines how routers within an area exchange link-state information and compute shortest paths based on the sum of the link weights. See "OSPF Version 2", IETF RFC 2328, Network

430 Working Group, April 1998. The link weights are static and are typically configured based on the link capacity, physical distance, and some notion of the expected traffic load. The chosen paths do not change unless a link or router failure occurs, or the OSPF parameters are reconfigured. These are rare events, particularly for the backbone links that participate in the routing protocol. As such, the routing module can consider a single

435 instance of the network topology and OSPF configuration and need not simulate the details of the OSPF protocol, such as the flooding of link-state advertisements or the exchange of "hello" messages. The routing module can be verified by comparing the resulting paths with the router forwarding tables or traceroute experiments on an

23

operational network. Performing the path selection computation inside the tool, rather

440 than using the forwarding tables or traceroute results directly, facilitates experimentation

with alternate OSPF configurations and different topologies.

When all of the backbone links reside in a single OSPF area, path

selection simply involves computing the shortest paths between each pair of routers,

based on the link weights. In a hierarchical network, traffic between two routers in the

445 same area follows a shortest path within the area, even if the network has a shorter path

that involves links in other areas. When traffic must travel between routers in different

areas, the path depends on how much information each area has about its neighbors. The

routing module can assume that the network does not summarize routing information at

area boundaries. In the absence of route summarization, each border router reports the

450 cost of the shortest path(s) to each of the other routers in the area, and the traffic between

routers in different areas simply follows a shortest path without regard to the area

boundaries. The routes can be computed using, for example, Dijkstra's shortest-path-first

algorithm, which is well-known in the art. To limit the computational overheads, an

implementation of the routing module can operate on a reduced network graph that

455 collapses equivalent edges and nodes, and avoids recomputing distances and paths by

caching intermediate results.

Path selection becomes more complex when there are multiple shortest

paths between a pair of routers. Such ties arise very naturally when the network topology

has parallel links between adjacent routers for additional capacity. Ties also surface

460 when many of the links in the network have similar weights. This is sometimes done

intentionally to increase the effective capacity between two endpoints. The presence of

multiple shortest paths allows for load-balancing of the traffic between the two endpoints. This is achieved by allowing the IP forwarding table to have multiple outgoing links associated with a single entry. Rather than alternating between these links at the packet

465   level, routers typically attempt to forward packets for the same source-destination pair along a single path; this reduces the likelihood that packets from the same TCP connection arrive out-of-order at the receiver. Load-balancing is typically achieved by performing a hash function on the source and destination IP addresses of each packet. The value of the hash function determines which outgoing link should carry the packet.

470   The details of the "tie-breaking" function can be modeled in the routing module. This, however, significantly complicates the path selection computation and would require computing traffic demands at a significantly finer level of granularity. In addition, the details of the hashing function, and how the outputs of the has function map to particular outgoing links are not specified by the OSPF protocol and, as such, depend

475   on the vender's implementation. Fortunately, these details are not important. The hash function is designed to support an even splitting of the traffic across the multiple outgoing links, especially for backbone links that carry a diverse mixture of traffic with different source and destination addresses. As such, the routing module advantageously splits traffic evenly cross each of the outgoing links along a shortest path. For example,

480   with regard to Fig. 6, if a router has two outgoing links on shortest paths, each link would carry 50% of the traffic. The division of traffic is recursive, with the downstream routers dividing the traffic across each of their outgoing links, as set forth in Fig. 6. For a more conservative estimate of the load on each link, the routing module could assume that each

outgoing link carries a little extra more than its fair share of the traffic by applying a

485   multiplicative factor.

Using the traffic demands described in the previous section, the routing

module can operate on a set of demands, each traveling from one peering or access link

to a set of access or peering links. The module computes the set of shortest-path routes

based on the topology and the OSPF configuration, and determines how the demand

490   splits across the multiple paths. Repeating this process for each demand results in an

estimate of the load imparted on each link. Then, the routing module determines the load

on each trunk (layer-two link) by summing across the associated layer three links. The

generality of the routing model facilitates experiments with alternate topologies and

OSPF configurations, as illustrated in the next section. It also supports experimentation

495   with the BGP policies for outbound traffic, by changing the sets of peering links

associated with external network addresses.

3. Visualization

A graphical user interface, such as the one set forth in Figs. 7 through 11,

500   can be used to provide an efficient visualization environment with many ways to explore

the data in the data model. As set forth above, each router and link is modeled with a

data object. Fig. 7 sets forth an example of an information panel which displays

attributes for objects of a given type. The information panel in Fig. 7 permits a user to

quickly scroll through a list of links and see the corresponding attributes to the selected

505   link in the bottom part of the panel, along with corresponding physical links in the right

hand box in the panel.

It is useful to permit the data model to associate statistical information with objects. Each statistic need be no more than simply a value for each object of some type. For example, a link utilization statistic, which is a percentage associated with each

510 link, can be calculated and displayed as set forth in Fig. 8. There should be no restriction on how many statistics can be associated with an object type. Thus, link utilization statistics can be stored for periods of time and can be used to create histograms, scatter plots, tables, etc. The color or size of the object when displayed can be utilized to reflect the statistic, thereby providing a visual representation of the statistic, e.g. coloring links

515 with high utilization as thick and red.

It is advantageous to include some search facility permitting queries on objects, as set forth in Fig. 9. The "Find Link" user interface in Fig. 9 permits arbitrary expression searches involving statistics, object fields, special filters, etc.

Fig. 10 and 11 sets forth the basic display of the router and link data

520 objects graphically superimposed over a map of the relevant geography. Given the large numbers of nodes and links that may need to be displayed, it is helpful to permit the user to choose which sets of objects to display as well as to define different layers of aggregation and abstraction, e.g. combining routers into complexes, aggregating parallel links, etc. The display in Fig. 10 and 11 has a user interface that recognizes the notion of

525 a current object for each type. An object becomes the current object either when it is selected or when the mouse is moved on top of it graphically. For example, a user whose mouse pointer hovers over a particular link displayed in Fig. 10 would cause the associated Link Panel window and Link Statistics window to change to information regarding that particular link.

530   It is advantageous for the visualization module to permit changes to the data model "on the fly" such as modifications to an OSPF weight of a link in the network. Then the software can use the routing module to automatically recalculate all routes for all active traffic demands, and update all relevant statistics that are based upon the traffic including link load and utilization. It is also helpful to maintain at least two different sets

535 of weights, one that can be manipulated and one that can act as an anchor or baseline.

    The foregoing Detailed Description is to be understood as being in every respect illustrative and exemplary, but not restrictive, and the scope of the invention disclosed herein is not to be determined from the Detailed Description, but rather from

540 the claims as interpreted according to the full breadth permitted by the patent laws. It is to be understood that the embodiments shown and described herein are only illustrative of the principles of the present invention and that various modifications may be implemented by those skilled in the art without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention. For example, the detailed description described the present invention in the

545 context of the Internet and IP-based backbone networks. However, the principles of the present invention could be extended to other types of packet-switched networks. Such an extension could be readily implemented by one of ordinary skill in the art given the above disclosure.